UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

EPIC SYSTEMS CORPORATION,)	
Plaintiff,)	G N 06.055 W
)	Case No.: 06-255-JJF
v.)	
)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
ACACIA RESEARCH CORPORATION and)	
RESOURCE SCHEDULING CORPORATION,)	
)	
Defendants.	ĺ	

PLAINTIFF, EPIC SYSTEMS CORPORATION'S RESPONSE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO DEFENDANT'S COUNTERCLAIM

Plaintiff, Epic Systems Corporation ("Epic"), hereby states its response and affirmative defenses to Defendant Resource Scheduling Corporation's ("RSC") counterclaim as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Epic admits the allegations in Paragraph 12 of the Counterclaim.
- 2. Epic admits the allegations in Paragraph 13 of the Counterclaim.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Epic admits the allegations in Paragraph 14 of the Counterclaim.
- 4. In response to Paragraph 15 of the Counterclaim, Epic admits that venue is proper.

CLAIMS FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

5. In response to Paragraph 16 of the Counterclaim, Epic admits that on June 26, 1990, the '743 Patent was issued and is entitled "Method and System for Scheduling, Monitoring, and Dynamically Managing Resources." Epic admits that a true and correct copy of that patent is attached as Exhibit A to the Counterclaim. Epic denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 16 of the Counterclaim.

- 6. In response to Paragraph 17 of the Counterclaim, Epic lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the first sentence and therefore denies. Epic denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 17.
 - 7. Epic denies the allegations in Paragraph 18 of the Counterclaim.
- 8 In response to Paragraph 19 of the Counterclaim, Epic admits that it has known of the '743 Patent since receipt of Plaintiff's letter of March 23, 2006, Exhibit B to the Complaint. Epic denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 19 of the Counterclaim.
 - 9. Epic denies the allegations in Paragraph 20 of the Counterclaim.
 - 10. Epic denies the allegations in Paragraph 21 of the Counterclaim.
 - 11. Epic denies the allegations in Paragraph 22 of the Counterclaim.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. The Counterclaim fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
- 2. The claims of the '743 Patent are invalid and unenforceable for failing to meet all applicable statutory requirements in Title 35 of the United States Code including, but not limited to, 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 102, 103 and/or 112 as conditions for patentability.
- 3. Epic has not infringed any valid claim of '743 Patent nor has Epic induced or contributed to any infringement of any valid claim of the '743 Patent.
- 4. The Counterclaim for damages is barred in whole or in part by 35 U.S.C. §§ 286 and 287.
- 5. The Counterclaim is barred in whole or in part by the doctrines of waiver, laches and/or estoppel.
- 6. Epic reserves the right to supplement its defenses that it confirms or discovers during the course of this matter.

WHEREFORE, Epic demands that RSC's Counterclaim be dismissed with prejudice and that judgment on Epic's Complaint be entered against RSC. Epic further demands a declaration: (1) that the claims in the '743 Patent are invalid and unenforceable; (2) that the claims in the '743 Patent are not infringed by Epic; (3) that Epic is entitled to a full recovery of all of its costs and attorneys' fees; (4) that this case is exceptional; and (5) any such further and other relief as the Court deems appropriate.

Of Counsel:
Nicholas J. Seay
James R. Cole
Anthony A. Tomaselli
Kristin Graham Noel
Quarles & Brady LLP
One South Pinckney Street
Suite 600
Madison, Wisconsin 53703

Dated: December 21, 2006

Jeffrey L. Moyer (#3309)
Anne Shea Gaza (#4093)
Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.
One Rodney Square
P.O. Box 551
Wilmington, Delaware 19899
(302) 651-7700
moyer@rlf.com
gaza@rlf.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff Epic Systems
Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 21, 2006, I caused to be served by hand delivery the foregoing document and electronically filed the same with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following:

Kathleen Jennings-Hostetter, Esquire Karen V. Sullivan, Esquire Oberly & Jennings 800 Delaware Ave., Suite 901 P.O. Box 2054 Wilmington, DE 19899-2054

I hereby certify that on December 21, 2006, I caused to be sent by Federal Express the foregoing document to the following non-registered participant:

Jonathan T. Suder, Esquire Edward E. Casto, Jr., Esquire Christie B. Lindsey, Esquire Friedman, Suder & Cooke 604 East Fourth Street, Suite 200 Fort Worth, TX 76102

Anne Shea Gaza (#4093)

Gaza@rlf.com

Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.

One Rodney Square

P.O. Box 551

Wilmington, Delaware 19899

(302) 651-7700